

Analysis of Corporate Company employee and effect on compensation packages depending on performance and retention.

¹Samini Varghese, Assistant Professor, Center for Management Studies, Jain University.

²Dr.Konda.Hari Prasad Reddy, Associate Professor, Center for Management Studies, Jain University, Bengaluru.

Abstract: The rate at which the workers in the private organizations jump from one organization to different organizations is turning into a huge problem as this might be a result of compensation packages of various companies to draw in competent employees. The vital aim of this study was to look at the influence of compensation packages and the way it's moving on employees' performance and retention. The data was collected using structured questionnaire to ninety-five respondents of which seventy-three fully filled questionnaires were received ready for analysis. From the total sample it is seen that forty-one respondents are male and thirty-two are female respondents. The results of the study showed that compensation does have a huge impact on the performance of the employee and it also effect the employee's decision to stay in the company and thereby effect the retention rate. It can be concluded that there is a direct relationship between compensation and employee performance.

Key words: Compensation, performance, corporate, competence, retention.

1. Introduction

Employees are the key resource within the success and failure of the organization that extremely rely upon the power of the employers to reward, attract and retain the gifted and competent staff. Employees' temperament to retain within the job for the most part depends on the compensation packages that the organization provides to its staff. In an effort to confirm employees' performance and retention, organizations ought to take into account alternative ways to reward the workers and bring home the bacon desired results. It's been argued that the degree to that the workers are glad with the duty and their readiness to retain within the job could be a operate of compensation packages and reward systems. Compensation management, additionally called wage and earnings administration, remuneration management, or reward management, is

bothered with coming up with and implementing total compensation package or earnings structure. The methods applied in managing the compensation should be in such the way that it attracts new staff and existing staff bring home the bacon job satisfaction and retain within the organization.

Compensation can also be viewed as:

- a. A system of rewards that motivates workers to perform the assigned task.
- b. A tool utilized by organizations to foster the values, culture and also the behavior they need and

Associate instrument that allows organizations to attain their business goals. Compensation packages entail some basic options that tend to form workers satisfy on their job amongst which has earnings, bonus, incentives, promotion, recognition etc. of these compensation packages have a big impact on employees' performance. However, compensation systems should be clearly communicated with the staff so as to avoid wrong perception and controversies which can later cause conflicts and chaos within the organization. This survey became necessary as a result of the perturbing high rate of turnover rate in camera organizations. The study facilitates to spot the challenges and after gives suggestions which will help the organization to retain best and competent workers with the assistance of effective compensation packages and reward system.

2 Literature review

Compensation is the human resource management function that deals with every type of reward individual receive in exchange for performing organizational tasks, with a desired outcome of an employee who is attracted to the work, satisfied, and motivated to do a good job for the employer (Greene, 2018).

Compensation is the monetary and non-monetary remuneration provided by an employer for services rendered" (ACA). It could be financial rewards or monetary rewards that go above and beyond basic pay. These rewards are separate and not superimposed into basic wage (Osibanjo, Adeniji, Falola & Heirsmac, 2014).

Examples of these include financial incentives, bonuses, and recognition. Compensation is direct and indirect remuneration received by employees in an organization that helps to obtain employee satisfaction and retention as well as improve performance. Direct compensation includes wages, salaries, bonuses or commission. Indirect compensation includes incentives, medical benefits,

housing allowance, annual leave allowances and training opportunities (Osibanjo, Adeniji, Falola & Heirsmac, 2014). Compensation is that type of the reward that staff receives in exchange for activity structure tasks (Lawler, 2001).

Compensation is direct and indirect wages. Direct compensation includes wages, salaries, bonuses or commission based on performances, overtime work, holiday premium, while indirect compensation is paid as medical benefits, meal allowance, housing allowance, utility allowances, incentive, bonus, shift allowances, hospitalization expenses, out of station allowance, vehicle loan benefits, annual leave allowances, car basic allowances, etc. (Osibanjo, Pavithra, & Adeniji, 2014).

Designing the compensation program is critical in personnel management as to its direct influence on employees' satisfaction and performance within the company (Pitts, 2009; Osibanjo, Adeniji, Falola & Heirsmac, 2014). Compensation influences everyone in the organization to an extent and can prove to be a very valuable and powerful tool - either intentionally or unintentionally (Detert, Treviño, Burris, & Andiappan, 2007). This compensation tool has the ability to achieve worker satisfaction abundantly and worker retention, as it comprises of financial and non-financial rewards that attract, motivate and satisfy valuable human resource, retaining effective performers as the compensation system recognizes desired behavior towards aiding competitive advantage of the organization (Schlechter, Thompson & Bussin, 2015). Salary is the fixed or guaranteed regular monthly or annual gross payment made to employees; it varies between hierarchy of different job positions, from one employee to other employee and from one company to other company. Wage on the other hand is a regular, usually weekly or daily payment made for manual workers (Supiot, Meadows & Casas, 2001).

A person's pay check acts as a medium to communicate the value and importance of teamwork as the famous Instrumentality theory explains that remuneration is a source of power, and a means of employee acquiring necessities and luxuries, and promises the employees willingness and commitment to excel on the job, thereby ensuring employees' retention (DuBrin, 2013).

- a. It is very essential to notice that compensation isn't all regarding cash.
- b. It is a symbol of an organization's believes values.
- c. It specifically communicates the extent to that a company values its staff.

Incentives are those type of awards given for the accomplishment of pre-determined goals and are directly associated with performance and includes a positive influence on the employees' objectives and structure success. Incentives inspire workers towards a bigger performance, and it

rewards the variations in performances, which is valid by the expectation theory, that worker can perform in bound manner in expectancy of a given outcome (Fang, & Gerhart. 2012).

Where, $\text{Effort} = F$ (expectation that a selected act would result in attainment of desired outcome) * (valence of the outcome). Therefore, efforts and performance and rewards equals worker satisfaction and retention, however wherever expectancy doesn't match performance, is contentedness happens (Nyberg, Pieper, & Trevor, 2016). Incentives are rewards offered additionally to the bottom wage or pay and are typically directly associated with performance. They're given to encourage reimburse some explicit worker for effort on the far side traditional performance expectations. They stimulate employees' contributions on top of and on the far side, the traditional common place of expectation (Vance, 2006). Not solely are those, bonuses usually after-the-fact discretionary awards given for accomplishments, behaviors, and/or different connected events. Fringe advantages, that are supplementary in nature, not worked for, and are typically given to any or all workers of a company, no matter how completely different their performances are, like annual leave allowances, pay advance and academic help. The compensation package should be engaging enough to stop workers from turning into discontent and searching elsewhere for higher pay, career development opportunities, fringe advantages, bonuses and incentives (Osibanjo, Adeniji, Falola & Heirsmac, 2014). Among the underlying foundations of compensation theory is that compensation influences behavior. The fundamental premise originated from the work of Behavior Psychologists such as B.F. Skinner, World Health Organization believed in conditioning. In summary, if you reward associate organism surely behavior, that organism are going to be additional doubtless to repeat that behavior. Translated into structure terms, if you reward associate worker for exhibiting behaviors of manufacturing results, that worker are going to be additional doubtless to repeat those selfsame actions. It's necessary to notice that there's tiny fraction of people that don't invest these principles. The faction argues that human behavior is far too advanced to be controlled and manipulated through reward programs. It's extraordinarily vital for a company to grasp the impact of its compensation system. Compensation will well promote associate organization's success and satisfy worker career objectives (Grawitch, Gottschalk, & Munz, 2006). It's been stressed that compensation should even be structured properly as if not careful throughout design and implementation, compensation systems will accidentally fail to inspire the specified behavior (e.g. ineffective compensation plan) or worse, inspire unwanted behavior (e.g. individualistic behavior in a very

team environment). in keeping with, results of compensation ought to embody improved worker satisfaction, low turnover and higher structure performance (Baker, Jensen, & Murphy, 1988).

3 Employee retention and employee satisfaction

Seniwoliba and Nchorbono, (2013) Employee satisfaction could be a complicated and many-sided thought, which may mean various things to completely different individuals. It's additional of associate angle, in internal state. It might be related to a private feeling of accomplishment, either quantitative or qualitative. Worker satisfaction is examined as:

- (1) In terms of the work between what the organization needs and what the worker is seeking
- (2) In terms of the work between what workers is seeking and what he/she is truly receiving.

He emphasized that the extent of worker satisfaction is plagued by a large vary of variables Relating to:

- (1) Individual (i.e. temperament, education, intelligence and talents, age, legal status and Orientation to work)
- (2) Social factors (i.e. relationship with co-workers, cluster operating and norms and chance for interaction)
- (3) Cultural factors (i.e. attitudes, beliefs and values)
- (4) Structure factors (i.e. nature and size, formal structure, personnel policies and procedures, worker relations, nature of the work, management and designs of leadership, management systems and dealing conditions)
- (5) Environmental factors (i.e. economic, social, technical and governmental influences.

Employee satisfaction is outlined because the results of a psychological comparison method of the extent to that numerous aspects of their pay (e.g. salaries, advantages and incentives) answer to what they need. Thus, the larger the gap between what workers have and what they require from their jobs, the less glad square measure they are as employees tend to be

most glad with their jobs once what they are expecting matches with what they really collect. Associate employee' overall satisfaction is that the additive results of comparisons that she makes between what her job provides and what she needs in numerous areas. the very fact that perceived importance makes such a giant distinction in however workers feel conjointly has implications for management, listed factors that contribute to worker satisfaction as; adequate pay, sensible operating conditions, parental management, job security, recognition, chance for growth, positive and validating setting and validating structure culture, coaching opportunities and adequate health care, friendly nature of peers and colleagues responsibility and cordial relationship between the superior and also the subordinates. Therefore, we are able to conclude that worker satisfaction could be a person's analysis of his or her job and work context. Compensation plays variety of key roles in organizations together with intimating the employee's value, attracting potential job incumbents, and holding existing workers. a serious and maybe the foremost notable among structure retention initiatives is compensation. Varied studies by researchers such as (Podsakoff, MacKenzie, Paine & Bachrach, 2000; Ramlall, 2003; Saks, ;2006) have addressed the impact of worker compensation, rewards and recognition on satisfaction and retention. It's been noted that an efficient human resource retention plans embrace adjustment of salaries paid to existing workers to create them equivalent to those paid to their counterparts within the same trade. This they assert, create work additional satisfying and create them perceive the benefits of operating for his or her current company compared with moving elsewhere. Many analysis studies (Podsakoff, MacKenzie, Paine & Bachrach, 2000; Ramlall, 2003; Saks, ;2006) found that extremely competitive compensation systems promote worker commitment and so ends up in the attraction and retention of superior hands. The study any noted that workers can stay with a company as long because it serves their self-interest to try to thus higher than the alternatives obtainable to them elsewhere.

Compensation components can be of two types. They are as shown in the table below:

Compensation components	
Non-Financial	Financial
1)Employee's involvement in decision making.	1)Direct payments (salaries).
2)Training opportunities.	2)Indirect payments (Benefits).
3)Recognition.	✓ Allowance
4)Health care.	✓ Bonus
5)Holidays.	✓ incentives
6) Supportive Organisational culture.	

A compensation theory that handily backs up the strategic utility of the compensation tool is that the expectancy/instrumentality theory; Expectancy theory argues compensation system influences employee satisfaction, analysis on the link between rewards and job satisfaction has found necessary positive association, and indicates that employees become motivated by their expected outcome of various kinds of rewards for his or her performance. the thought posits that their square measure three factors that square measure important, or conditions that has to be met to understand employee satisfaction and retention. First, the rewards ought to have positive net worth or high valence; that is, the outcomes ought to be attractive. Second, compensation ought to be at intervals the expectations of the employee. Finally, the perceived likelihood that successfully performing the required tasks will cause the specified outcomes, or instrumentality, ought to be high; that is, the employee ought to be assured that when the tasks square measure performed, they are going to urge the rewards/achieve the secure outcomes.

There are a unit a pair of varieties of compensations. They are:

Direct compensation: it is a remuneration provided to the employees/workers in exchange of their labor/services. Direct compensation is sub divided into a pair of kinds.

They are:

- Incentives: they are given once the performance level of the employee is high. Variety of the incentives square measure piece wage, commission, percentage, bonus etc.
- Pay: It forever consists of wages and salaries in exchange of labor. it's either base pay or earnings relying upon the work performance.

Indirect compensation: this type of compensation is not given to the employee directly but is provided for the employee's edges. Indirect compensation is split into a pair of kinds.

- Services: this kind of compensation increase employee's wellbeing at no price or significantly reduced price to employees. Eg: housing, transport, food etc.
- Benefits: These area unit the kind of payments that area unit extra to base pay.

Compensation is strategic to the organization's goals and thus have to be compelled to guarantee employee satisfaction, worker retention, worker development and higher structure performance. Thus, associate degree exchange method takes place with compensation.

4. The objectives of this study are as follows

- i. Examine the effect of incentives packages on the employees' performance and retention.
- ii. Evaluate the impact of Salary and bonus on employees' retention.

5. Statement of the problem

The rate at that employees' turnover is increasing in private organizations has become a factor of concern and it's obvious that the methods enforced by the management and stakeholders haven't resolved this drawback. Thanks to evolving competition among existing personal organizations, the increasing variety of recent personal organizations has necessitated sensible formulation, administration and implementation of effective compensation policies that will permit these organizations to retain their best hands.

Thus, there had been constant quality of extremely competent staff kind one organization to different organization. This quality has been labeled as "drain." one among the necessary reasons for this quality of staff from one organization to different needs to do with the distinctive importance of organizational climate and job satisfaction among the workers within the operating conditions that affects the belief of organization's vision. A competent job style is critical for effective performance, there's a requirement thus to seek out the link between organizational

climate and job satisfaction. This can be necessary to spot the way to retain the workers within the organization and forestall constant quality referred to as “brain drain.”

Moreover, associate degree worker attains job satisfaction once the management acknowledges his/her physical health similarly as mental state. Associate degree understanding of the factors concerned in job satisfaction has relevancy to enhance the eudemonia of a big variety of individuals. Whereas the pursuit of the development of satisfaction is of humanitarian price declared that “trite” because it could seem satisfaction may be a legitimate goal in itself’. Therefore, aside from its humanitarian utility, it seems to form economic sense to think about whether or not and the way job satisfaction will be improved. Hence, the wants to spot compensation packages which will facilitate improve the task satisfaction of staff operating within the personal organizations.

It is imperative to notice here that, compensation packages embrace salaries, bonus, incentives, fringe advantages, performance allowances, etc. All these directly or indirectly have an effect on employees’ satisfaction and intention to remain in a company however they're not being self-addressed.

6 Research style and methodology

The survey adopted case study analysis style within which qualitative and quantitative analysis were utilized leverage subjective approach so as to gather relevant and substantive formation. a complete of ninety-five forms were distributed among staff out of that eighty-two were came back when filling up the questionnaire. In these eighty two questionnaires a number of them had insufficiency of knowledge. When abandoning such questionnaires, seventy three questionnaires were adequate of the analysis. that the applicable sample size for the analysis is seventy three out of that forty one area unit male respondents and thirty two area unit feminine respondents. Therefore, information area unit collected from each primary and secondary source. Each currently so i attempted to speak to completely different officers to seek out relevant facts even in unofficial manners like in tea breaks and lunch breaks. And talking regarding secondary supply I actually have wont to the fullest extent attainable. The sources of knowledge details area unit given below:

Primary Sources:

- Communication with the structure supervisor.
- Discussion with officers and anxious consultants of various designations.

Secondary Sources:

- Websites of the corporate.
- Significant information from the net.
- Textbooks.

Simple sampling technique was adopted for this study that could be a procedure of giving each subject during a population equal likelihood of showing within the choice. Analysis instrument adopted for information assortment was a structured form centered on the variables of the survey, that were custom-made from literature reviewed, salary; Fringe advantages and allowances; bonus and incentives. Every of the things was supported a 5-point Likert scale, that permits respondents state the efficiency of every of the things listed within the form.

6.1 Data analysis and Interpretation

The survey adopted case study analysis style within which qualitative and quantitative analysis were utilized as a leverage subjective approach so as to gather relevant and substantive information.

Simple sampling technique was adopted for this study, which will be a procedure of giving every subject in associate degree passing population equal likelihood of showing at intervals the selection. Analysis instrument adopted for data assortment was a structured type targeted on the variables of the survey, that were custom-built from literature reviewed, salary, fringe benefits and allowance, bonus and incentives. Each of the items was supported a 5-point Likert scale, that allows respondents state the potency of each of the items listed at intervals the shape.

6.2 Hypothesis Testing

The hypothesis is taking a look at by victimization Chi sq. test. The reason for victimization Chi sq. was the precise nature of the data that Chi sq. is associate acceptable check. Another justification for victimization Chi sq. is based on the premise that it's most frequently used tool at .05 level of significance with one degree of freedom.

H_0 = Compensation system influence and motivates effective performance.

H_1 = Compensation system alone do not influence/motivates effective performance.

The crammed type collected from the respondents was checked for integrity and for any inconsistencies. Written material detects errors and omissions, corrects them once accomplishable, and certifies that minimum data quality standards area unit achieved. Before

The data area unit tabulated and analysis carried, the investigator is required to edit constant suitably to form it a great deal of significant. Associate initial screening has to be compelled to be disbursed to figure out if the responses area unit legible, consistent and complete. If the responses do not appear to be legible, an investigator may need to approach the respondent everywhere once more, or plan to infer one factor regarding that an investigator is not clear or at the foremost discard the response altogether.

6.3 Hypothesis testing

H_0 = Compensation system influence and motivates effective performance.

H_1 = Compensation system alone don't influence/motivates effective performance.

Parameters	Agree	Disagree	Total
Compensation system	62	11	73
Effective performance	54	19	73
Total	116	30	146

Expected values (e) = Row total * column total/ Grand total

$E(\text{agree}) = 73 * 116 / 146 = 58$

$E(\text{disagree}) = 73 * 30 / 146 = 15$

O	62	11	54	19
E	58	15	58	15
(O-E) ²	16	16	16	16
(O-E) ² /E	0.28	1.07	0.28	1.07

$$\Sigma(O-E)^2/E = 2.7$$

$$\alpha = 0.005$$

$$\text{Degree of freedom} = (r-1)(c-1) = (2-1)(2-1) = 1$$

According to the statistical table at 5% level and 1 degrees of freedom the value is 3.841

Therefore, $2.7 < 3.841$

Interpretation is that since the actual value 2.7 is less than standard value 3.841 H_0 is accepted i.e., compensation system motivates employees' performance.

6.4 Findings and suggestions

- Since efforts are directed towards achievement of the goals and the efforts are not in isolation to the ability of the employees, then ability should be enhanced through training, development, capacity building premiums, orientation and reorientation, and counseling programmes.
- Organizations will do well if employees motivation is not taken for granted, knowing well that workers come to work with expectations and needs they want to satisfy via their work efforts
- Managers must make known to employees concerning the reward attached to each performance target so that each employee know what he/she can expect in exchange for his/her efforts at every level of performance.
- Managers must ensure that rewards are matched to employees' needs and preferences.

Conclusion

In conclusion, Managers must ensure that rewards distributed to employees are dynamic and constantly re-evaluated to ensure their transparency and fairness to all employees so as to continue to have their dedication, commitment and loyalty, which is the major drive for keeping

contented and satisfied employees, thus avoiding turnover but ensuring retention of vibrant employees.

References

1. Armstrong, M., Strategic human resources management: A guide to action, UK:KoganPage, 2003.
2. Baker, G. P., Jensen, M. C., & Murphy, K. J. (1988). Compensation and incentives: Practice vs. theory. *The journal of Finance*, 43(3), 593-616.
3. Detert, J. R., Treviño, L. K., Burris, E. R., & Andiappan, M. (2007). Managerial modes of influence and counterproductivity in organizations: A longitudinal business-unit-level investigation. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 92(4), 993.
4. Dewakar Goel, Performance appraisal and compensation management, PHI Learning, 2000
5. DuBrin, A. J. (2013). *Fundamentals of organizational behavior: An applied perspective*. Elsevier.
6. Fang, M., & Gerhart, B. (2012). Does pay for performance diminish intrinsic interest? *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 23(6), 1176-1196.
7. Grawitch, M. J., Gottschalk, M., & Munz, D. C. (2006). The path to a healthy workplace: a critical review linking healthy workplace practices, employee well-being, and organizational improvements. *Consulting Psychology Journal: Practice and Research*, 58(3), 129.
8. Greene, R. J. (2018). *Rewarding performance: Guiding principles; custom strategies*. Routledge.
9. Lawler, E. J. (2001). An affect theory of social exchange. *American Journal of Sociology*, 107(2), 321-352.
10. Michael Armstrong & Helen Murlis, Handbook of reward management, Crust PublishingHouse, 2010
11. Nyberg, A. J., Pieper, J. R., & Trevor, C. O. (2016). Pay-for-performance's effect on future employee performance: Integrating psychological and economic principles toward a contingency perspective. *Journal of Management*, 42(7), 1753-1783.
12. Osibanjo, A. O., Adeniji, A. A., Falola, H. O., & Heirsmac, P. T. (2014). Compensation packages: a strategic tool for employees' performance and retention. *Leonardo Journal of Sciences*, (25), 65-84.
13. Osibanjo, A. O., Pavithra, S., & Adeniji, A. A. (2014). Compensation management and organisational commitment in developing economies: Indian perspective. *Journal of Research in Management, Social Sciences and Technology*, 8(8), 1-15.

14. Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Paine, J. B., & Bachrach, D. G. (2000). Organizational citizenship behaviors: A critical review of the theoretical and empirical literature and suggestions for future research. *Journal of management*, 26(3), 513-563.
15. Pitts, D. (2009). Diversity management, job satisfaction, and performance: Evidence from US federal agencies. *Public Administration Review*, 69(2), 328-338.
16. Ramlall, S. (2003). Organizational application managing employee retention as a strategy for increasing organizational competitiveness. *Applied HRM research*, 8(2), 63-72.
17. Saks, A. M. (2006). Antecedents and consequences of employee engagement. *Journal of managerial psychology*, 21(7), 600-619.
18. Schlechter, A., Thompson, N. C., & Bussin, M. (2015). Attractiveness of non-financial rewards for prospective knowledge workers: An experimental investigation. *Employee Relations*, 37(3), 274-295.
19. Seniwoliba, A. J., & Nchorbono, A. D. (2013). Examining the role of motivation on employee performance in the public sector in Ghana.
20. Supiot, A., Meadows, P., & Casas, M. E. (2001). *Beyond employment: Changes in work and the future of labour law in Europe*. Oxford University Press on Demand.
21. Skinner, B. F. (1965). *Science and human behavior* (No. 92904). Simon and Schuster.
22. V. Fish back and Shawn Everett Kantor, A Prelude to the Welfare State, The origin of the workers' , third edition.
23. Vance, R. J. (2006). Employee engagement and commitment. *SHRM foundation*.